From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

	To:			PCT	
see form PCT/ISA/220				INTERNATION	TEN OPINION OF THE NAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)
				Date of mailing (day/month/year) see	e form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)
4	licant's or agent's file of form PCT/ISA/2			FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below	
	rnational application T/DK2004/00056		International filing date (d 27.08.2004	day/month/year)	Priority date (day/monthlyear) 02.09.2003
	rnational Patent Clas 1N15/12	ssification (IPC) or	both national classification	and IPC	
, , ,	licant EMPAQ A/S				
1.	This opinion co	ontains indication	ons relating to the follo	owing items:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Box No. I	Basis of the op	inion		
]	Box No. II	Priority			
	☐ Box No. III	Non-establishn	nent of opinion with rega	ard to novelty, inventiv	e step and industrial applicability
ļ	☐ Box No. IV	Lack of unity of	finvention	•	
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43b applicability; citations and explanation					
1	Box No. VI	Certain docum			
			in the international app		
	Box No. VIII	Certain observ	ations on the internation	al application	
2.	FURTHER ACT	ION			
	If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.				
	submit to the IPE	EA a written reply date of mailing o	y together, where approp	oriate, with amendmen	PEA, the applicant is invited to nts, before the expiration of three of 22 months from the priority date,
	For further option	ns, see Form PC	T/ISA/220.		
3.	For further detail	s, see notes to F	form PCT/ISA/220.		
			-		

Name and mailing address of the ISA:



European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016 **Authorized Officer** 

Koch, A

Telephone No. +31 70 340-3828



## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

IAP9 Rec'd PCT/PTO 01 MAR 2005

International application No. PCT/DK2004/000568

-	E	Зох	No. I Basis of the opinion	_	
1	. With regard to the <b>language</b> , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item.				
	C	_	This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).		
2	. V n	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:			
a. type of material:			pe of material:		
			a sequence listing		
			table(s) related to the sequence listing		
	b. format of material:		rmat of material:		
			I in written format		
			in computer readable form		
	C.	. tim	ne of filing/furnishing:		
			contained in the international application as filed.		
			filed together with the international application in computer readable form.		
			furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.		
3.	. 🗆	t c	n addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating theretonas been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional expises is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.	)	
4.	A	dditi	ional comments:		

Box No. II Priority		Priority
1. 🛭	The fo	llowing document has not been furnished:
	$\boxtimes$	copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).
		translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).
		quently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has neless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.
2. 🗆	has be	pinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim en found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international ate indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.
3. Add	ditional c	observations, if necessary:

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43*bis*.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

2,8-10

Claims No:

1,3-7

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

Claims No:

1-10

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-10

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

## Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: GB 1 457 657 (COULTER ELECTRONICS) 8 December 1976 (1976-12-08)

D2: US 3 801 904 A (HOGG WALTER R ET AL) 2 April 1974 (1974-04-02)

D3: US 3 502 993 A (SIEMENS AG) 24 March 1970 (1970-03-24)

1. The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

The document D1 which refers to classifying particles into discrete particle-size ranges for determining their size distribution by means of a Coulter counter discloses the following technical features of claim 1 (the references in parentheses applying to this document):

A pulse height analyser for determination of the pulse height distribution of electronic pulses (page 1, I. 32-52) comprising

a set of comparators with a common input for analogue to digital conversion of the electronic pulses (p. 2, l. 63-95; p. 3, l. 25-40);

a set of latches wherein the inputs of the latches are connected to the outputs of respective comparators for recording passage of the corresponding threshold voltages by the rising edge of a pulse (p. 3, I. 41-62),

- a priority encoder connected to the latch outputs for determination of a pulse height category consisting of pulses with a pulse height within a pulse height interval defined by respective threshold voltages (p. 3, l. 2-p. 4, l. 8), and
- a micro controller that is adapted to count the number of pulses within each pulse height category (p. 4, I. 93-128).
- 2. Likewise, dependent claims 3-7 are not novel in the sense of Article 33(1) and (2) PCT, since their technical features are also anticipated by D1 (c.f. passages cited above and in the search report; p. 2, l. 121-124: concerning claim 5 and p. 5, l. 82-87 concerning claim 6).

3. Dependent claims 2 and 8-10 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of inventive step (Article 33(1) and (3) PCT), see documents D1-D3 and the corresponding passages cited in the search report (D3: against sub-claims 2 and 9 in combination with D1), and present state-of-the-art knowledge of the skilled person (claims 8 and 10).

## Documents D2 and D3 disclose:

- D2: a pulse height analyser for analysing pulses from a Coulter device with a leading edge trigger;
- D3: a pulse height analyser for Coulter device with variable thresholds of a digitising circuit to adapt to different absolute amplitude values.